

## Office of the Attorney General State of Texas

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

February 28, 1995

Ms. Suzanne E. Giesecke Assistant General Counsel Texas Department of Agriculture P.O. Box 12847 Austin, Texas 78711

OR95-106

Dear Ms. Giesecke:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Texas Open Records Act, chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 27298.

The Texas Department of Agriculture (the "department") received a request for information concerning an investigation into a pesticide related complaint. You contend the information is excepted from required public disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 excepts "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Section 5.08 of the Medical Practice Act, V.T.C.S. article 4495b, provides:

- (a) Communications between one licensed to practice medicine, relative to or in connection with any professional services as a physician to a patient, is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided in this section.
- (b) Records of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that are created or maintained by a physician are confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided in this section.

In addition, section 5.08(j)(3) provides for further release of confidential medical records obtained with a valid consent for release only if the disclosure "is consistent with the authorized purposes for which consent to release the information was obtained." See also id. § 5.08(c).

We have reviewed the information submitted to this office. Some of the documents are confidential under subsections (b) and (c) of section 5.08. Therefore, the Medical Practice Act prohibits disclosure of the medical records unless the department concludes that further release is authorized pursuant to section 5.08(j)(3). For your convenience, we have marked the documents that are subject to the provisions of the Medical Practice Act.

Section 552.101 also incorporates the doctrine of common-law privacy. For information to be protected from public disclosure under the common-law right of privacy as section 552.101 incorporates it, the information must meet the criteria set out in *Industrial Foundation v. Texas Industrial Accident Board*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976), cert. denied, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). The *Industrial Foundation* court stated that

information . . . is excepted from mandatory disclosure under Section 3(a)(1) as information deemed confidential by law if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public.

540 S.W.2d at 685; Open Records Decision No. 142 (1976) at 4 (construing former V.T.C.S. article 6252-17a, section 3(a)(1)). In *Industrial Foundation*, the Texas Supreme Court considered intimate and embarrassing information such as that relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. 540 S.W.2d at 683.

We have reviewed the documents submitted for our consideration. Although the documents contain symptoms of illness alleged to be caused by exposure to pesticides, the information is not intimate or embarrassing and there is a legitimate public interest in it. Accordingly, you may not withhold any of the remaining information under commonlaw privacy as incorporated by section 552.101 of the Government Code. See Open Records Decision No. 370 (1983) (Industrial Foundation specifically rejected claim that all medical information may be withheld by common-law privacy).

We note that the documents also contain social security numbers. Social Security numbers are not protected by common-law privacy. Open Records Decision No. 455 (1987). However, a social security number or "related record" may be excepted from

disclosure under section 552.101 in conjunction with the 1990 amendments to the federal Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(c)(2)(C)(vii). In relevant part, the 1990 amendments to the federal Social Security Act make confidential social security account numbers and related records that are obtained and maintained by a state agency or political subdivision of the state pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. See Open Records Decision No. 622 (1994). We caution, however, that a governmental body may be required to obtain an individual's social security number under laws that predate October 1, 1990; a social security number obtained under a law that predates October 1, 1990, is not made confidential by the 1990 amendments to the Social Security Act. Based on the information that you have provided, we are unable to determine whether the social security numbers contained in the submitted documents are confidential under federal law. On the other hand, section 552.352 of the Government Code imposes criminal penalties for the release of confidential information. Therefore, prior to releasing any social security number, you should ensure that it was not obtained pursuant to a law enacted on or after October 1, 1990.

We are resolving this matter with an informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and may not be relied upon as a previous determination under section 552.301 regarding any other records. If you have questions about this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,

Loretta R. DeHay

Assistant Attorney General Open Government Section

Loretta De Hay

LRD/LBC/rho

Ref.: ID# 27298

Enclosures: Marked documents

cc: Mr. Darren T. Groce

Hinkle, Cox, Eaton, Coffield & Hensley

P.O. Box 3580

Midland, Texas 79702

(w/o enclosures)